

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<b>Microbiology Research Journal International</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_MRJI_93975</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Characterization, microbiological and nutritional quality of complementary foods for children (6 to 24 months) produced in the city of Man (Côte d'Ivoire)</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalmri.com/index.php/MRJI/editorial-policy>)

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>Some comments:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) It is not clear from the title whether the complementary foods are commercial or homemade.</li> <li>2) Too much information in one paper causes this one to lose focus... and also difficult to form a title that will include all of the research done.</li> <li>3) The methodology for the survey part lacks details: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-What was the research design of the study?</li> <li>-What were the inclusion and exclusion criteria?</li> <li>-How was the sample size determined?</li> <li>-Was the questionnaire validated?</li> <li>-How was the mothers' knowledge assessed?</li> </ul> </li> <li>4) In the discussion section, do not just say that the results differed from the previous study; explain what the difference was</li> </ol>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p> <p>Yes. The survey (questionnaire) involved human. Most studies require human ethical approval for any research involving humans.</p>	

### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Marina Abdul Manaf</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Universiti Sains Malaysia, Malaysia</b>