

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Microbiology Research Journal International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_MRJI_88807
Title of the Manuscript:	Extract development of sorghum grains during Malting
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalmri.com/index.php/MRJI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - 2.8: change Sulphoric acid by Sulfuric acid. - 2.9.2: the title of this paragraph is "Preparation of Sorghum wort", but the authors used maize never Sorghum !!!!! - 2.9.4: same remark as above, the authors used maize instead of sorghum !!! - 3.2 Alpha-Amylase Activity: please introduce in the text Table 2 and 3, and fig. 1. - - Fig 1.: with a $R^2 = 0.8651$, the standard curve is not really good. This point must be carried out again. - 3.3: same remark as 3.2, introduce table and fig in the text. - Also use the same structure for point 3.2 and point 3.3: for example assay reading and after standard curve reading. - - Fig 2.: same remark as Fig 1.. With a $R^2 = 0.8495$, the standard curve is not really good. This point must be carried out again. - 3.4: the author must explain me how 40 °L is less than half of 53 °L !!! For me less than half of 53 °L, is 20 °L. Which is the good value ? 20 or 40 ? - 3.5: first line at the end: mistake of the value, it is not 6 %, but 3.6 % !!!! - According to [19], the range is between 3.84 % and 4%, with 3.6 and 3.4% this means that your values are OUTSIDE !!! Please correct the sentence. 	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>References 1, 4, 7, 8, 11, 14, 16, 18, 26: the authors give the name of journal abbreviated, but not for the other references.</p> <p>Reference 6: the authors don't give the URL of the reference, just the date of accession.</p> <p>Reference 7: the copy and paste with UNICODE failed with the Spanish names: Jim'énez instead of Jiménez.</p> <p>Reference 9 is incomplete, like reference 15.</p>	
Optional/General comments	The two standard curves (alpha and beta amylase) must be carried out again !!!	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Gilles Olive
Department, University & Country	Belgium