

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_94619
Title of the Manuscript:	Potential of grain physical traits to the study of variability in maize
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalsrr.com/index.php/JSRR/editorial-policy>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	It seems to be a very relevant work, mainly due to the response it intends to provide. However, an elementary flaw is committed by the absence of detailed information in the material and methods section, mainly regarding the statistical analysis of the data. Without this, it is not possible to continue analyzing the results that are reliably presented. In addition, better explanations should be provided regarding the methodology you used to evaluate the variables that will give the answer you want to give with the work. Check very thoroughly if the results presented correspond to the statistical analysis that you have carried out.	
Minor REVISION comments	Always check the grammatical correction of your text. I recommend a thorough revision of the text.	
Optional/General comments	Congratulations on the work you. I hope that you will continue this study and that you will be able to present a great contribution to the scientific community.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Wender Mateus Peixoto
Department, University & Country	Federal University of Mato Grosso, Brazil