

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_94331
Title of the Manuscript:	Antibiogram analysis of Escherichia coli isolates recovered from dog faeces
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalsrr.com/index.php/JSRR/editorial-policy>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Very poor writing style - The manuscript should be revised for English editing and grammar mistakes - Very poor presentation of methods and results - Poor discussion - Sample size is too small. Hence, these results may not be scientifically relevant - Information in Table 1 should be written in text, and the Table suppressed - Reference (Bauer et al., 1966) for antimicrobial susceptibility testing is unacceptable. Please, use CLSI or EUCAST - Suppress 1st and 3rd columns in Table 2 and bold only column headings - Use 'µ' and not 'mcg' for disc potency – Table 2 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Seraphine Esemu
Department, University & Country	University of Buea, Cameroon