

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_95124
Title of the Manuscript:	Updates in the Use of 3D Bioprinting in Biomedical Engineering for Clinical Application: Review Article
Type of the Article	Review Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1. The manuscript presents an important subject. 3D Bioprinting for Clinical Application is very promising technique for biomedical engineering. Nevertheless, incorporating 3D bioprinting into general clinical practice will be very challenging.</p> <p>2. Advancement Use of 3D Bioprinting in Biomedical Engineering for Clinical Application: Review Article.</p> <p>3. The abstract is clear, but it can be improved.</p> <p>4. It is mandatory to add a discussion section at the end, to discuss the reliability, the limitations and the future of each 3D clinical applications already presented in the paper.</p> <p>5. The manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>6. I suggest adding references of the years 2021 and 2022.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>1 English could be improved.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>I suggest adding a figure in the introduction that explains the 3D bioprinting process.</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Yosra Ben Fadhel</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>The Heir Institute of Medical Technologies of Tunis, Tunisia</b>