

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_94896
Title of the Manuscript:	RENAL STONE DENSITY; DETERMINANT OF ESWL SUCCESS
Type of the Article	ORIGINAL ARTICLE

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	It is a known fact that stones with high Hounsfield density will have poor outcomes with ESWL. However, being a scientific study done appropriately, it may be accepted. The need for doing ESWL for calyceal stones of 4 mm or lesser may be reconsidered. There are many patients who have no symptoms and the stones may not grow. Such patients should be metabolically assessed and corrective chemoprophylaxis administered. The cost and morbidity of ESWL can be avoided.	
Minor REVISION comments	There are certain grammatical corrections, which re noted in the proof returned.	
Optional/General comments	May be accepted after correction.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Fazil Marickar
Department, University & Country	Mount Zion Medical College, India