

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_94647
Title of the Manuscript:	Effects on Blood Glucose of Ethyl Acetate-Butanol Fraction of Dialium Guineense Aqueous Leaf Extract (Cesalpiniaceae)
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>This paper include extraction process of leaf extract of Dalium Guineense using various solvent systems. Thin layer chromatographic technique was carried out for tannins flavonoids etc. After this it is used for in vivo studies. It is being asked that why the author has carried out directly the in vivo studies before doing their physicochemical studies, toxicological studies. I feel that when we initiate the new work we must analyse the properties of that particular product carefully using various preclinical studies before in vivo studies.</p> <p>Secondly, Large variety of flavanoids exist in the system, so author must specify which particular flavanoid or tannins are responsible for the activity.</p> <p>Third point, the extraction process and the TLC techniques are written in a very vague manner. It may be presented in tabulated form or expressed in a more refined method. Moreover, whether such methods are taken from the literature should also be clarified by the author.</p> <p>Fourth point, I couldnot understand the use of TLC technique by the author. Did they tried doig separation of the various products formed or they have identified some particular product.</p> <p>Also, in abstract the author have mentioned that EABF and EAB-TFF were phytochemically characterized.... HOW?</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p> <p>The various method of extraction and TLC techniques must be cited with references from where such methods are being followed</p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Manisha Bansal
Department, University & Country	Punjabi University, India