

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_94643
Title of the Manuscript:	Updates in Management of Autism Spectrum Disorder, A Review
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Thank you for the opportunity to review this manuscript. Thank you to the author(s) for their time and effort in completing and writing this review article. This manuscript contains relevant information for the field of autism treatment. Revisions are required for publication.</p> <p>The Abstract should be a brief summation of the manuscript. I found numerous sentences that were cut/pasted directly from manuscript. Please see the sentence that begins "The majority of behavioural therapies..." This particular sentence is not constructed in a manner that is easily understood by readers. Please see the sentence that begins "Anxiety and aggression can be reduced..." The author(s) should understand that there are many problem behaviours that can be reduced, not just anxiety and aggression. Please see Minshawi et al 2014.</p> <p>The first two paragraphs of the Introduction are redundant and could be revised in a way that would condense the information. Again, many of the sentences contained in the Introduction section are cut/pasted in the Abstract.</p> <p>The Participants and Methods section contains should be revised. There is a misspelling of the word "psychology" in the sentence that begins "the keyword search." This section states that "a combination of these words were used." What were the combinations? The reader should be able to replicate this review in the same manner that it was originally conducted. The Criteria of Inclusion includes an incorrect tense. It should state "the papers were chosen..." It is important for the author(s) to understand that Review articles can include unintentional inherent biases. Therefore, this reader suggests that the author(s) explain what criteria was used to determine "project importance." This reviewer suggests that the Exclusion Criteria be more clearly defined.</p> <p>The 4 categories of management approaches are appropriate, relevant, and contain relevant information. The use of "evidenced based practices" is at the forefront of recent conversation in the field of autism. It is the suggestion of this reviewer to further explore the importance and use of EBP's under the Psychosocial/Behavioural Therapy section of this manuscript. There are currently nearly 30 identified EBP's.</p> <p>This reviewer suggests rewording the first sentence of the Conclusion section for clarification. As it currently reads, it is confusing. Please clarify "functional impact."</p> <p>Again, thank you for the opportunity to review this manuscript. This reviewer believes that with the proper revisions this manuscript could be considered for publication.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.6

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Christopher William Tarr
Department, University & Country	Slippery Rock University of Pennsylvania, United States of America