

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_94251
Title of the Manuscript:	QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE PHYTOCHEMICAL SCREENING AND ANTI-MICROBIAL ACTIVITIES OF ARGEMONE MEXICANA LINN
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Poor English language in manuscript. Full manuscript needs revision Eg. The observed activities could be due to the presence of phytochemicals present in the plant. For each phyto constituent reputedly same sentence "Steroids is present in ethanol sample but absent in aqueous sample." Has been done. The matter is cut and pasted where by author has not taken to change color of text Eg. <i>Klebsiella pneumonia</i> is a gram-negative bacteria that typically cause nosocomial infections and shows a great deal of antibiotic resistance. <i>Klebsiella</i> species cause a wide range of diseases including pneumonia, urinary tract infections (UTIs), bloodstream infections and sepsis (14;15). <i>Staphylococcus</i>. At many places this is seen. In result and discussion the results of work done have to be mentioned and not those found in literature as for each activity reference number is mentioned. Reasons for using gentamycin and ketokenazol as standard. The comparison in results should be done between standard used and extracts generated in method. Quantity and Concentration of standard and extract used should be mentioned. Specific reason for carrying out testing of anti microbial activity on specific selected microorganism should be given In Result and discussion: Only results related to experimental procedures carried out should be mentioned</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Major revision is needed related to language and presentation of content of manuscript 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sachin Ashok Pishawikar
Department, University & Country	Anandi Pharmacy College, India