

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_94245
Title of the Manuscript:	Clinical approach to respiratory tract infections (RTI) in non-neutropenic fever (NNF) children with cancer: lessons from identified cases during the mass religious gatherings and influenza seasons
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	This is a highly region-specific article, and although it has important clinical application locally, neither the design of nor the inference from this study may be useful outside its immediate context. Thus, the readability of this paper is also limited to regional audiences. It would be helpful, and also much more appealing, to fine tune the title as well as the text into a more precise, concise and crisp format.	
Minor REVISION comments	Language, grammar and syntax errors	
Optional/General comments	This is otherwise a good paper from the point of view of public health measures, and all the important details could better be presented as a flow chart or algorithm. It would be better suited for a public health or epidemiology journal, and not a paediatric, respiratory or infectious diseases publication. Also, it could be published as a policy statement or clinical practice guideline for the pilgrimage season in this part of the world.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jayita Das Poduval
Department, University & Country	AIMST University, Malaysia