

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Complementary and Alternative Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JOCAMR_94472
Title of the Manuscript:	A Commentary on Consumers' Perspectives on IMBOOST Herbal Mixture in the Ghanaian Market
Type of the Article	Commentary

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journaljocamr.com/index.php/JOCAMR/editorial-policy>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	review and supplement the research evidence base, compare with similar studies by other authors. since the article contains links to videos and other testimonies of individuals about their own experience using the subject of research, indicate how this is consistent with the protection of personal data	
Minor REVISION comments	The topic of the given article is interesting and relevant, since the lack of defined standards for the production and use of products of natural origin in some countries quite often leads to cases of low-quality business and manipulation of consumer consciousness. The example given by the authors is one of many well-known cases of using rumors to draw attention to a product. The authors cite anecdotal evidence regarding patients' own experiences with the product, but the evidence base for these reports is not supported by appropriate references to the sources of this information. In the discussion, there is no connection with previous studies on this topic. The purpose of this study is not entirely clear, and since the article lacks a scientific component of the study, it can be recommended as a review and informational one.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Yuliia Yudina
Department, University & Country	National Technical University "Kharkov Politechnic Institut", Ukraine