

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Complementary and Alternative Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JOCAMR_93956
Title of the Manuscript:	Phytochemical screening and comparative evaluation of antioxidant activity of different parts of <i>Anonidium mannii</i> (Oliv) Engl. et Diels.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journaljocamr.com/index.php/JOCAMR/editorial-policy>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	1. Overall work of the paper is good. 2. Area or location should be mentioned from where plant material is collected as well as season of collection should be mentioned. As seasonal variations can vary the results. 3. As given in aim no correlational or test is conducted for rheumatism.	
Minor REVISION comments	1. Few grammatically mistake in abstract and paper. 2. Only Preliminary colour test is conducted for phytochemical screenig.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Suman Satyarum
Department, University & Country	DSPM's K.V Pendharkar College, Mumbai University, India