

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Complementary and Alternative Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JOCAMR_93860
Title of the Manuscript:	The Relationship of Gestational Age on the Incidence of Vulvovaginal Candidiasis
Type of the Article	Research

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljocamr.com/index.php/JOCAMR/editorial-policy>)

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. I do not think a literature review section is required for this article</li> <li>2. The result and discussion section should be separated</li> <li>3. The research equipment should not be listed but rather a description of how the procedure for microscopy and culture should be described</li> <li>4. The first paragraph of the result section should be in the methodology section</li> <li>5. This statement in the last paragraph of the methodology section should be reviewed because research cannot be meaningless: the research is meaningless if it has a p-value &gt; 0.05</li> <li>6. It is not clear how the patients were recruited and in what was done to eliminate bias</li> <li>7. The 5<sup>th</sup> paragraph, 2<sup>nd</sup> line in the introduction section: What does "endometrial wall nidasi" mean?</li> </ol>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

Comment [A1]: incorrect

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Amaka Ngozi Ocheke
Department, University & Country	University of Jos, Nigeria