

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Education, Society and Behavioural Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JESBS_94103
Title of the Manuscript:	INVESTIGATING THE WILLINGNESS AND PERCEPTION OF PARTICIPANTS IN RESPONDING TO ELECTRONIC AND MANUAL QUALITY ASSURANCE SURVEYS: THE CASE OF COLLEGES OF EDUCATION IN GHANA
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljesbs.com/index.php/JESBS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Missing literature review. The author may wish to consider including a chapter on the review of pertinent literature.</p> <p>Generally, the manuscript looks like a proposal. The author may consider using past tense after carrying out the research.</p> <p>Too much reliance on one reference (Creswell). The author may consider quoting other recent authors who have explored the subject matter at hand.</p> <p>References are quite old. The author may consider using having references that are not more than five old.</p> <p>Most of the references are not quoted by the author. The author may consider having in the reference section the authors he has quoted in his/her work.</p> <p>The introduction clearly misses the gap the author intends to fill.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>The author may consider checking on the :</p> <p>Spellings Grammars Tenses.</p> <p>The author may consider having a third party to proofread the manuscript before submitting.</p>	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.6

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nelly N. Thurania
Department, University & Country	Kenya Methodist University (KeMU), Kenya