

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Education, Society and Behavioural Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JESBS_94103
Title of the Manuscript:	INVESTIGATING THE WILLINGNESS AND PERCEPTION OF PARTICIPANTS IN RESPONDING TO ELECTRONIC AND MANUAL QUALITY ASSURANCE SURVEYS: THE CASE OF COLLEGES OF EDUCATION IN GHANA
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljesbs.com/index.php/JESBS/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. examined 2. identified 3. willingness 4. It should be: This study is a quest to... 5. as 3 above 6. order 7. as 6 above 8. as 6 above 8B = use colon : 9. small letter 't' 10. apply semi-colon ";" after survey 11. as 9 12. as 10 13. as 9 above 14. apply full stop. 15. Use colon after the verb, "are" [:] 16. Colon [:] 17. Capital letter "D" Design 18. Add the verb, "is" as in: ... population of each college [is] about... 19. took 20. remove and replace with the verb, "are" 21. remove coma 22. add "it" between "because" and "does" 23. add semicolon after respondents [;] before its 24. remove 25. remove 26. determined 27. capital letter 28. capital letter 29. capital letter 30. order 31. were 32. remove "the been" and replace with "being" 33. full stop 34. "C" in content should be in capital letter 35. Individuals is a plural and it has to agree with a plural verb, "have" 36. respondents 37. colleges 38. remove "s" in the word 39. apply full stop 40. turns 41. participants' perception 42. opinion or view 43. respondents' privacy 44. are 45. Separate Cross from tabulation 46. Participants' perceptions 47. As 46 and 46 above 48. being 49. as 48 50. it 51. as 41 52. check and recast the clause 53. as 41 	

Review Form 1.6

	<p>54. Change it to: This implies that...</p> <p>55. Respondents</p> <p>56. It should be: The respondents were asked to...</p> <p>57. Remove "s"</p> <p>58. Replace with responded</p> <p>59. Tables</p> <p>60. Evident</p> <p>61. As 41</p> <p>62. separate surveys from Factor</p> <p>63. Cross-tabulation</p> <p>64. As 64</p> <p>65. while</p> <p>66. prefers</p> <p>67. being</p> <p>68. participants'</p> <p>69. Andrews et al.'s (2010) study</p> <p>70. Apply colon: and not full stop</p> <p>71. Full stop</p> <p>72. Apply colon:</p> <p>73. Full stop</p> <p>74. Ensure</p> <p>75. Are</p> <p>76. Colon:</p> <p>77. Colon</p> <p>78. The</p> <p>79. Full stop</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	There are corrections to be made, which are highlighted already: grammatical and spellings.	
Optional/General comments	The study is publishable but it should be reviewed to ensure the corrections are made appropriately.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Martins Segun Jayeoba
Department, University & Country	Nigeria