

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_94313
Title of the Manuscript:	RECYCLING OF WASTE PLASTIC FOR THE PRODUCTION OF ROAD INTERLOCKING PAVING STONE IN NIGERIA
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljerr.com/index.php/JERR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1- It is suggested that the authors use the journal format to present the text of the article (e.g. using sub numbers in various sections). 2- The article needs to be checked for grammatical and typo errors. 3- Some of the statements mentioned in the text need to have their related references (e.g. 9.2 billion tons of plastic.....) 4- The authors need to explain what is "C.C.Ike". 5- In section 3 table 2 needs to be clarified (mix ratios). 6- It is well suggested that the authors present some pictures of the samples made and procedures used during the research as well. 7- It is suggested the applicability of the method used in civil engineering projects is discussed within the text as well. 8- Use of plastic in various civil engineering projects may have negative impacts on the environments. It is suggested that this is pointed out within the article as well. 	
Optional/General comments	I do suggest to the respected editor of the journal to give the reviewers at least two weeks to review an article.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Behzad Kalantari
Department, University & Country	University of Hormozgan, Iran