

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_94242
Title of the Manuscript:	Hydraulic vibration hammer without resonance technology status study
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalsrr.com/index.php/JSRR/editorial-policy>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	There are several technical issues (especially points 6, 7 and 8) that must be solved before the manuscript can be considered for publication, otherwise, the below note(*) may be applied. The remarks are: 1-There is no result drawn from the research written in the abstract. 2-The originality of this work should be included in the introduction. 3-The literature survey needs some numerical results about previous research. 4-The first diagram in Fig.2 has no values at the vertical axis. 5-The repeated sentence "The frequency and amplitude of the vibration hammer were adjusted by changing the eccentric distance" should be omitted. 6- The research techniques, methods and data processing are not clear. 7- The theoretical model is not found. 8-There are no results to discuss. 9-The conclusion is needed to be supported by the obtained results. 10-A simulation flowchart may be considered Note(*): the manuscript can be rewritten as a review paper.	
Minor REVISION comments	-The research aims should be written. -It is better to revise the manuscript grammarly.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Safaa A. Ghadhban
Department, University & Country	Electrical Engineering Technical College, Middle Technical University, Iraq