

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_93981
Title of the Manuscript:	AN ASSESSMENT OF THE KNOWLEDGE LEVEL IN GEOSYNTHETICS AMONG FRESH GRADUATES IN CIVIL ENGINEERING AND ALLIED PROGRAMMES IN GHANA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljerr.com/index.php/JERR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Abstract must be revised. It is too long and not representing the content of the manuscript. A good abstract is short but impactful and consist of background, method, result & conclusion. Do not start your abstract with "Whereas"References is not properly done. Most of references cannot be found in the references list. Some of the references in ref. list cannot be found in the text.Introduction only focus on type of Geosynthetics, but the title & result of the manuscript is focusing on assessment. Introduction must also include literature on how the assessment been made by others.Explain about Table 1.a in the textAll the explanation should be done before table/figure.Conclusion can be improved. Make it short and answering the two specific objectives	
Minor REVISION comments	Not Applicable	
Optional/General comments	Not Applicable	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Khairul Nizar Ismail
Department, University & Country	Universiti Malaysia Perlis, Malaysia