

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Economics, Management and Trade</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JEMT_93828</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>ENERGY RESOURCES, FOREIGN DIRECT INVESTMENT CHANNELS AND ECONOMIC GROWTH</b>
Type of the Article	Manuscript ( <b>research paper</b> )

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljemt.com/index.php/JEMT/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The abstract includes the title, the objectives"explores whether energy could be used to support economic growth in Nigeria through investment", the methods and the tests used, and summarizes a number of the results.</li> <li>2. The introduction includes a good data about the subject.</li> <li>3. Add some new data regarding the literature review.</li> <li>4. The methodology should include the theoretical framework. The methodology explains the research design of this study, which is known as descriptive and analytical. A pre-estimation test is used in this method.</li> <li>5. The results should have a title and classification. No title of the results is mentioned. The tables should be classified in a planned way and separated.</li> </ol>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>The abstract is written in a good way; the methodology is explained and elaborated.</b></li> </ol>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	It is a good paper, gives a new knowledge to the field of the research. The author should read the above comments if possible to follow them. The paper will be more effective and full of novelty.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Nawal Okour</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Al Nawal Center for Translation and Research, Jordan</b>