Original Research Article

In Vitro Detection of Acaricidal Resistance of Cattle Tick against Commercial Preparation of Deltamethrin and Cypermethrin in Three Villages of Udaipur District (Rajasthan)

ABSTRACT

Acaricidal ~~R~~resistance studies were carried out in the Department of Veterinary Parasitology in ~~u~~Udaipur to detect resistance status against commonly used commercial acaricides, 1.25% Butox® (Deltamethrin) and 10% w/v DERMEEZ® (Cypermethrin) against ticks collected from three villages (Vallabhnagar, Ranchorpura and Kikawas) of ~~Vallabhnagar tehsil of~~ Udaipur, Rajasthan using Adult Immersion test (AIT) and Larval packet test (LPT). Recommended concentration of acaricides for ~~AIT and~~AIT and LPT were used. Deltamethrin (0.075 g L^{-1}) and Cypermethrin (0.05 g L^{-1}) in AIT and Deltamethrin (0.06%) and Cypermethrin (0.2%) in LPT were used to evaluate acaricidal resistance. The overall analysis of data of the two tests with reference drug wise resistance for all three villages revealed that resistance to ~~the~~ deltamethrin was statistically higher than Cypermethrin. ~~Deltamethrin showed higher resistance compared to cypermethrin in the entire study area.~~ The drug wise results of AIT for deltamethrin for Vallabhnagar, Ranchorpura and Kikawas villages was 50%, 45% and 40% and for cypermethrin the resistance was 15%, 10% and 10% respectively. The results of LPT revealed ~~that~~ highest resistance in Vallabhnagar (27.5%), followed by Ranchorpura (21%) and Kikawas (19%) for deltamethrin while. ~~For~~ cypermethrin showed 19%, 11.5% and 9.5% resistance ~~were observed~~ in Vallabhnagar, Ranchorpura and Kikawas, respectively.

Keywords: Adult Immersion test (AIT), Larval packet test (LPT)

Comment [U1]: UNECESSARY REPEATITION

Comment [U2]: Only two key words, please check journal instructions

1. Introduction

India's livestock sector is one of the largest in the world and plays an important role in the country's economy. In India, 70% of the rural households own livestock for generating additional employment through milk, meat, wool and eggs production (Ali, 2007). The livestock sector, especially, the dairy sector comprising of approximately 199 million cattle in India is an important part of the rural agribusiness in Indian economy (Ghosh et al., 2014). Cattle rearing being supplementary to agriculture ~~has been a~~is part of the social and cultural heritage of Indian civilization. Cattle ~~have been~~ vrearing is one of the main ~~the~~ source of income ~~of~~ for small, marginal and landless farmers, ~~a~~ whose majority ~~of whom~~ live below the poverty ~~line~~ index (Gandhi et al., 2015). Ecto-parasites such as ticks and mites transmit different pathogens, which lead to a number of life threatening diseases (Aslam et al., 2015). Ticks are obligate blood feeding ecto-parasites. The most emerging infectious diseases arise from zoonotic pathogens, and majority of them are transmitted by ticks. Ticks are among the most competent and versatile vectors of pathogens and are second to mosquitoes as vectors of a number of human pathogens, like viruses, bacteria, rickettsia, spirochetes, etc, and the most important vector of pathogens affecting cattle worldwide (Peter et al., 2005). Globally the ticks are second to mosquitoes as vector of infectious pathogens to humans and animals. They transmit important haemoprotozoan diseases (Jongejan and Uilenberg, 2004). Ticks and tick borne diseases (TTBD) of cattle pose serious threats on the growth of dairy industry (Anonymous, 1996) and cause a significant reduction in profit by severe loss in lactation (McLeod and Kristjanson, 1999). Cattle producers thus encounter a serious threat to manage TTBDs, largely due to the progressive evolution of resistance of ticks to almost every acaricides available in the market (George et al., 2004). Indirect effects are related to the transmission of tick borne diseases like babesiosis and anaplasmosis (Sharma et al., 2012). Observed that a single tick consumes a minimum of 30 drops of blood for completion of its life cycle and hence the most important adverse effects of tick infestation in animals are the anaemia and retardation of growth (Mondal *et al.*, 2013). In India, almost all the cattle population suffers from tick infestations and besides the adverse effects on growth and production, tick infestation causes 20-30% reduction in the cost of leather due to tick bite marks (Biswas, 2003). The global loss due to ticks and tick borne diseases (TTBDs) was

Comment [U3]: Replace with current and journal reference

Comment [U4]: Only in lactation? What of death, loss of hides and skin and cost of medication

Comment [U5]: Who observed?

estimated to be between US$ 13.9 and 18.7 billion annually (De Castro, 1997). ~~While in~~In India, the cost of controlling TTBDs has been estimated ~~as~~ to be up to US$ 498.7 million ~~annum~~ $^{-1}$per annum (Minjauw and McLeod, 2003). Tick-borne infectious diseases are growing steadily ~~partly due to~~considering the establishment of the tick vector in urban areas~~/new areas and~~, posing serious threat to the world health problem. The information on acaricidal resistance is very scanty on global basis (Wharton and Roulston, 1970) including India (Sangwan et al., 1993). Synthetic pyrethroids have been introduced in the 1970s (Graf, 2004) and are currently widely used. They are less toxic to mammals and are highly biodegradable. Today pyrethroids resistance is extremely common and is prevalent in all countries where resistance studies were performed (Andreotti et al., 2011; Mendes et al., 2011). Currently, tick control is more difficult due to the presence of resistant populations to major families of acaricides (Fernandez-Salas et al., 2012). The use of ~~A~~acaricides ~~are~~ is the most common tick control method adopted by ~~the~~ cattle owners in India. Indiscriminate ~~use~~ application ~~with~~ and use of sublethal ~~incorrect~~ concentrations of acaricides has probably contributed to the development of resistance in the ticks (Anonymous, 1996) ~~and large scale~~jus as resistance to the ~~O~~organophosphorous compound "diazinon" has recently been experimentally validated in Indian isolates of *Rhipicephalus (B.) microplus* (Kumar et al., 2011). The synthetic pyrethroids, deltamethrin and cypermethrin, are commercially available in India and at present are two predominant acaricides used for tick control in the country. Wide spread and indiscriminate use of acaricidal compounds has lead to the development of resistance in ticks (Ghosh et al., 2006; Ravindran et al., 2014). In addition, continued use of acaricides for long periods exerts selectiveon pressure on the ticks resulting in ~~resistance~~ the development of resistance (Jonsson et al., 2000). ~~However, the indiscriminate and incessant use with improper concentrations has probably contributed to the development of resistance to most of the acaricides in several countries (Anonymous, 2004).~~ Periodic monitoring of the ticks for development of resistance against commonly used acaricides in the ~~particular~~ respective region is essential ~~to~~ for appropriate recommendation ~~the appropriate acaricide for~~ effective ~~control of the~~ tick and tick borne disease~~s~~ control measures.

2. Material and methods

Comment [U6]: Hey? Very old

Comment [U7]: Very current references exist up to 2022.

Comment [U8]: Avoid use of anonymous references

Comment [U9]: Why the repetition of points? See line 69-70.

Comment [U10]: Why the repetition again?

The present study was conducted from the month of May, 2018 to November, 2018 (7 month) in three villages (Vallabhnagar, Ranchorpura and Kikawas) of **Udaipur District (Rajasthan)**~~Vallabhnagar tehsil of Udaipur Rajasthan~~.

~~2.1 Acaricides~~2.1 Acaricides

The ~~C~~commercial acaricides used in this study, 1.25%Butox® (Deltamethrin) and 10% w/v DERMEEZ®(Cypermethrin) were purchased from --------.Their application was based on previous recommendations (FAO,2004)~~ Recommended concentration of acaricides for AIT (FAO, 2004). Deltamethrin (0.075 g L^{-1}) and Cypermethrin (0.05 g L^{-1}). Recommended concentration of acaricides for LPT bioassy (FAO, 2004). Deltamethrin (0.06%) and Cypermethrin (0.2%).~~

2.2 ~~Collection ,~~Collection, Transportation and Laboratory handling of ticks

The fully engorged female cattle ticks were collected randomly from three villages (Vallabhnagar, Ranchorpura and Kikawas) of **Udaipur District (Rajasthan)**~~Vallabhnagar tehsil of Udaipur, Rajasthan durig~~in the morning hours into small boxes with a few small holes allowing air to circulate. They were ~~brought~~ taken to the Parasitology laboratory ~~to~~ in the Department of Veterinary Parasitology, Navania, Vallabhnagar, Udaipur College~~.~~, where they

~~In the laboratory the ticks~~ were subjected to mounting and morphological identification. Engorged female cattle ticks were subjected to AIT and larval hatching for LPT. The engorged female ticks collected from a particular area were labelled and kept individually in labelled glass tubes covered with muslin cloth and kept in desiccators maintained at room temperature and 85±5% relative humidity (RH) for oviposition. The eggs were collected after 7 days from commencement of incubation. Each tube containing the first week egg production was labelled to ensure the selection of more uniform batch of larvae for each LPT. The eggs laid were allowed to hatch under uniform conditions of incubation and 14-–21days old unfed larvae were utilized for the performance of Larval Packet Test (LPT) for detection of resistance status against deltamethrin and cypermethrin acaricides.

2.3 Adult Immersion Test (AIT)

The Adult Immersion Test with a Discriminating Dose (AIT-DD) was conducted as per the protocol described by FAO ((2004). The following procedure was adopted for AIT-DD. The ticks were immersed in 20 ml Rrecommended concentration (0.075 g0.075 g L^{-1}) of Deltamethrin and 0.05 g L^{-1} of Cypermethrin (0.05 g L^{-1}) for 30 minutes at about 27°C and containers were gently agitated during the period. The control group for deltamethrin and cypermethrin was immersed in 20 ml of distilled water. After 30 minutes, the acaricide solution was poured off into a safe storage container and the ticks were gently dried on a clean filter paper.Thepaper. The ticks from each container were pasted with ventral side up onto double-sided sticky tape on a glass plate.Theplate. The glass plates with pasted ticks were incubated in white enamel tray at room temperature (25 - 30°C) for 7 days. During this period, the trays were provided with wet muslin cloth to maintain humidity. After 7 days, the ticks from treatment and control groups were observed and the number of ticks that have laid eggs were counted and recorded. (Plate.1)Plate.1)

Ticks that had were treated with discriminating dose of acaricide but still laid eggs were considered as resistant. ,while Tticks that had were treated with acaricide and did not lay eggs were considered as susceptible. The percentage resistance was calculated as:

$$\text{Resistance (\%)} = \frac{Nt}{Nw} \times 100 \qquad (1)$$

Where, *Nt*= number of treated ticks laying eggs

Nw= number of untreated ticks laying eggs

2.4 Larval Packet Test (LPT)

The LPT was conducted according to the guidelines of FAO, (2004) with minor modifications. A control and test packets to be used in the procedures were prepared with Whatmann no. 1 filter paper, each having size of 7.0×7.0 cm. The papers were impregnated with recommended sublethal discriminating concentrations of deltamethrin (0.06%) and cypermethrin (0.2%) and test doses of deltamethrin (0.09%) and cypermethrin (0.3%). The working solution of acaricides was dried by keeping the filter paper for 30 min in incubator at 37ºC to allow trichloroethylene to evaporate. The filter papers and the fabric were then folded in half and the

sides were sealed with adhesive tape, forming an open ended square packet to place tick larvae. The control packets were impregnated with solutions of trichloroethylene (2 parts) and olive oil (1 part) and dried by keeping the filter paper for 30 min in incubator at 37ºC to allow trichloroethylene to evaporate. After preparation of packets, all the packets were handled with forceps for further procedure. 100 larvae were placed into each packet with fine brush and the top open end of each packet was sealed with white adhesive tape. The closed packets were laid on a white enamel tray before its placement in the incubator. The use of a white tray enables ~~to~~ observation of ~~see th~~e accidentally fallen larvae and subsequently trap them on adhesive tape. The treatment and control packets were then placed in an incubator at 27˚C- ± -2˚C temperature with relative humidity (RH) of 85 ±5 %. For each acaricide, the test was conducted in duplicate. The packets impregnated with deltamethrin and cypermethrin were removed after 24 hours, the live and dead larvae counts and larval mortality was calculated.

Detailed counting ~~is not necessary~~was not done if the larvae in a packet ~~are~~ were ~~clearly~~ all dead and~~,~~ such packets ~~are~~ were automatically considered as having 100 % mortality. If counting reveals mortality to be very low less than 5 % then the direct mortality figures can be utilized. If they are found to be low (5-10 %) in the control, then percentage mortality in all the treated larval packets will have to be corrected by applying Abbott's formula.

$$\text{Corrected percent mortality} = \frac{\text{Percent Test Mortality} - \text{Percent Control Mortality}}{100 - \text{percent control Mortality}} \text{x } 100$$

2.5 Statistical Analysis

~~The results~~The results of AIT and LPT were analysed using to complete randomized design (CRD).

Comment [U11]: Please state the appropriate statistical tool you used, CRD is just your expereimental design

3. Results and Discussion

3. 1 Deltamethrin and Cypermethrin resistance status

The results of AIT for deltamethrin for Vallabhnagar, Ranchorpura and Kikawas villages was 50%, 45% and 40% and for cypermethrin the resistance was 15%, 10% and 10% for Vallabhnagar,

Ranchorpura and Kikawas villages respectively. The AIT resistance of ticks against both durgs was found to be significant ($p<0.05$). Singh *et al.*, (2010) conducted AIT as per FAO (2004) for deltamethrin and cypermethrin drug using commercial formulations against *R. (B.) microplus* in Punjab where they found high frequency of resistance against deltamethrin (96.67%) than cypermethrin (93.33). Mathivathani et al., (2011) also reported 64.72% resistance to deltamethrin in *R. sanguineus* ticks in Chennai by AIT.

Comment [U12]: Re-phrase your discussion

Table 1 : Acaricidal resistance of ticks after employing AIT

Acaricides	Village	Acaricide concentration		Number of Females-Acaricide^{-1} Replicate^{-1}	Number of Females oviposited		Percent Mean Resistance
					Ist Replicate	IInd Replicate	
Deltamethrin	Vallabhnagar	Tr.	0.075gm L^{-1}	10	04	06	50
		Co.	-	10	10	10	-
	Ranchorpura	Tr.	0.075gm L^{-1}	10	05	04	45
		Co.	-	10	10	10	-
	Kikawas	Tr.	0.075gm L^{-1}	10	05	03	40
		Co.	-	10	10	10	-
Cypermethrin	Vallabhnagar	Tr.	0.05gm L^{-1}	10	02	01	15
		Co.	-	10	10	10	-
	Ranchorpura	Tr.	0.05gm L^{-1}	10	00	02	10
		Co.	-	10	10	10	-
	Kikawas	Tr.	0.05gm L^{-1}	10	01	01	10
		Co.	-	10	10	10	-

3.2 Acaricidal resistance of ticks after employing LPT

The results of LPT revealed that highest resistance in Vallabhnagar (27.5%), followed by Ranchorpura (21%) and Kikawas (19%) for deltamethrin. For cypermethrin 19%, 11.5% and 9.5% resistance were observed in Vallabhnagar, Ranchorpura and Kikawas, respectively. The resistance of ticks against deltamethrin and cypermethrin was found to be significant ($p<0.05$). Rodriguez et al., (2006) who reported 61.2% resistance to deltamethrin and 59.2% resistance to cypermethrin in Mexico. Mendes et al., (2011) reported 86.36% to deltamethrin and 82.6% resistance to cypermethrin in *Rhipicephalus (Boophilus) microplus* ticks from small farms of the State of Sao Paulo, Brazil.

Comment [U13]: Re-phrase your discussion

Table ~~2 :~~2: Acaricidal resistance of ticks after employing LPT

Acaricides	Village	Acaricide concent-	Number of larvae	Larval Mortality count		Percent Mean	Percent Mean
				Ist	IInd		

		ration		packet^{-1}	Replicate	Replicate	Mortality	Resistance
Deltamethrin	Vallabhnagar	Tr.	0.06%	100	75	70	72.5	27.5
		Co.	-	100	00	00	00	-
	Ranchorpura	Tr.	0.06%	100	78	80	79	21
		Co.	-	100	00	00	00	-
	Kikawas	Tr.	0.06%	100	80	82	81	19
		Co.	-	100	00	00	00	-
Cypermethrin	Vallabhnagar	Tr.	0.2%	100	80	82	81	19
		Co.	-	100	00	00	00	-
	Ranchorpura	Tr.	0.2%	100	87	90	88.5	11.5
		Co.	-	100	00	00	00	-
	Kikawas	Tr.	0.2%	100	90	91	90.5	9.5
		Co.	-	100	00	00	00	-



PLATE 1: Resistance status of ticks by Adult Immersion test (AIT)

Conclusion

Adult Immersion Test (AIT) and Larval Packet Test (LPT) of the present study showed similar trends of resistance for deltamethrin and cypermethrin acaricides. Synthetic pyrethroids are also easily available commercial acaricides and their application methods are easy which leads to

indiscriminat~~e~~ing use of these products. Probably unscientific and casual approach towards use of these acaricides, application of sub lethal doses and sequential use of the same chemical group for long periods has ~~favored~~favoured the development of resistance.

References

Ali, J., 2007. Livestock sector development and implication for rural poverty alleviation in India. Livestock research for rural development 19 (2), 1-15.

Andreotti, R., Guerrero, F.D., Soares, M.A., Barros, J.C., Miller, R.J., Leon, A.P.D., 2011. Acaricide resistance of *Rhipicephalus (Boophilus) microplus* in State of Mato Grosso do Sul, Brazil. Revista Brasileira de Parasitologia Veterinaria 20 (2), 127-133.

Anonymous., 1996. Food requirement and population growth. WFS96/TECH/10. Provisional version of technical paper for the world food summit, 60.

Anonymous., 2004. Resistance management and integrated parasite control in Ruminants. Guidelines. Animal Production and Health Division, 25-77.

Aslam, B., Hussain, I., Zahoor, M.A., Mahmood, M.S.,Rasool, M.H., 2015. Prevalence of Borrelia anserina in Argas ticks. Pakistan journal of zoology 47 (4), 1125-1131.

Biswas, S., 2003. Effects of ticks on animal production system. Proceedings of the National Seminar Leather Industry in today's perspective. 14–15 November, Kolkata, India.

De Castro, J.J., 1997. Sustainable tick and tick-borne disease control in livestock improvement in developing countries. Veterinary parasitology 71 (2-3), 77-9.

Fernandez-Salas, A., Rodriguez-Vivas, R.I., Alonso-Diaz, M.A., 2012. First report of a *Rhipicephalus microplus* tick population multi-resistant to acaricides and ivermectin in the Mexican tropics. Veterinary Parasitology 183 (3-4), 338-342.

217 Gandhi R.S., Singh A., Kumar A., 2015. Pragmatic approach for improving indigenous cattle.
218 Indian Dairy Man 67 (6), 64-70.

219 George, J.E., Pound, J.M., Davey, R.B., 2004. Chemical control of ticks on cattle and the
220 resistance of these parasites to acaricides. Parasitology 129 (1), 353-366.
221 Ghosh, S., Azhahianambi, P., Furente, J.D.L., 2006. Control of ticks of ruminants, with special
222 emphasis on livestock farming systems in India: present and future possibilities for integrated
223 control-a review. Experimental and Applied Acarology 40 (1), 49-66.

224 Ghosh, S., Nagar, G., 2014. Problem of ticks and tick-borne diseases in India with special
225 emphasis on progress in tick control research: A review. Journal of Vector Borne Diseases
226 51 (4), 259-270.

227 Graf, J.F., Gogolewski, R., Leach-Bing, N., Sabatini, G.A., Molento, M.B., Bordin, E.L.,
228 Arantes, G. J., 2004. Tick control: an industry point of view. Parasitology 129 (S1), 427-442.

229 Jongejan, F., Uilenberg, G., 2004. The global importance of ticks. Parasitology 129 (1), 3-14.

230 Jonsson, N.N., Mayer, D.G., Green, P.E., 2000. Possible risk factors on Queensland dairy farms
231 for acaricide resistance in cattle tick (*Boophilus microplus*). Veterinary Parasitology 88 (1-2), 79-
232 92.

233 Kumar, S., Paul, S., Sharma, A.K., Kumar, R., Tewari, S.S., Chaudhuri, P., Ray, D.D., Rawat, A.
234 K.S., Ghosh, S., 2011. Diazinon resistant status in *Rhipicephalus (Boophilus) microplus* collected
235 from different agroclimatic zones of India. Veterinary Parasitology 181 (2-4), 274–281.

236 Mathivathani, C., Basith, S.A., Latha, B.R., Rath, G.D., 2011. In vitro evaluation of synthetic
237 pyrethroid resistance in *Rhipicephalus sanguineus* ticks of Chennai. Journal of Veterinary
238 Parasitoiogy 25 (1), 56-58.

239 McLeod, R., Kristjanson, P., 1999. Tick cost: economic impact of ticks and Ticks and tick born
240 diseases to livestock in Africa, Asia, and Australia. International livestock research institute
241 (ILRI) Nairobi, Kenya. (Retrieved from http://www.esys.com.au and http://www.cgiar.org/ilri).

242 Mendes, M.C., Lima, C.K.P., Nogueira, A.H.C., Yoshihara, E., Chiebao, D.P., Gabriel, F.H.L.,
243 Ueno, T.E.H., Namindome, A., Klafke, G.M., 2011. Resistance to cypermethrin, deltamethrin

and chlorpyriphos in populations of *Rhipicephalus (Boophilus) microplus* (Acari: Ixodidae) from small farms of the State of Sao Paulo, Brazil. Veterinary Parasitoiogy 178 (3-4), 383-388.

Minjauw, L., McLeod, A., 2003. Tick borne diseases and poverty. The impact of ticks and tick borne diseases on the livelihood of small scale and marginal livestock owners in India and Eastern and Southern Africa. Research report, DFID Animal Health Programme, Centre for Tropical Veterinary Medicine, University of Edinberg. 116.

Mondal, D.B., Sharma, K., Saravanan, M., 2013. Upcoming of the integrated tick control program of ruminants with special emphasis on livestock farming system in India. Ticks and Tick-Borne Diseases 4 (1-2), 1-10.

Peter, R.J., Van den Bossche, P., Penzhorn, B.L., Sharp, B., 2005. Tick, fly and mosquito control-lessons from the past, solutions for the future. Veterinary Parasitoiogy 132(3), 205–15.

Ravindran, R., Ramankutty, S.A., Juliet, S., Palayullaparambil, A.K.T., Gopi, J., Gopalan, A.K.K., Nair, S.N., Ghosh, S., 2014. Comparison of in vitro acaricidal effects of commercial preparations of cypermethrin and fenvalerate against *Rhipicephalus (Boophilus) annulatus*. Springer Plus, 3 (1) 1-4.

Rodriguez-Vivas, R.I., Alonso-Diaz, M.A., Arevalo, F.R., Sanchez, H.F., Santamaria, V.M., Cruz, R.R., 2006. Prevalence and potential risk factors for organophosphate and pyrethroid resistance in Boophilus microplus ticks on cattle ranches from the State of Yucatan, Mexico. Veterinary Parasitology 136 (3-4), 335-342.

Sangwan, A.K., Chhabra, M.B., Singh, S., 1993. Acaricide resistance status of common livestock ticks of Haryana. Indian Veterinary Journal 70 (1), 20-24.

Sharma, A.K., Kumar, R., Kumar, S., Nagar, G., Singh, N.K., Rawat, S.K., Dhakad, M.L., Rawat, A.K.S., Ray, D.D., Ghosh, S., 2012. Deltamethrin and cypermethrin resistance status of *Rhipicephalus (Boophilus) microplus* collected from six agro-climatic regions of India.Veterinary Parasitology 188(3-4), 337– 345.

Singh, N.K., Jyoti, M.H., Rath, S.S., 2010. Studies on acaricide resistance in *Rhipicephalus* (*Boophilus*) *microplus* against synthetic prethroids by adult immersion test with a discriminating dose. Journal of Veterinary Parasitology 24 (2), 207-208.

272 Wharton, R.H., Roulston, W.J., 1970. Resistance of ticks to chemicals. Annual Review of
273 Entomology 15 (1), 381-403.

Comment [U14]: References are very old, change references to years not older than 2015 and ensure they are formatted according to the journal requirement