

Editor's Comment:

1. The aim and the objectives of this paper must be stated clearly from the beginning. The abstract needs serious improvements! In the abstract, authors must clearly describe the most significant results of the experimental stages completed and the most important conclusions! In the final of abstract section conclusions should be detailed with the most important and suggestive experimental statistical obtained results and with the most significant experimental stages conducted! The Abstract is purely theoretical, very general made!

1.1. The aim and objectives of the experimental work are absolutely not described in the manuscript! The authors must clearly state them from the beginning! The authors did not describe then into the manuscript text!

2. This work has a pronounced theoretical character. I do not find the most important original experimental contribution of the authors in developing this subject, which could be very interesting! Lack of experimental complete results and information! Too few experiments!! Experimental part is too short and superficial described!

Each experimental method described in the "Material and Methods" paragraph must have the corresponding data tables and graphs in the "Results and Discussions" section. The authors must capture and properly comment in the "Discussions" section the most important experimental aspects, defining for the experiment itself. The authors should add two or more additional original experiments in the main paper! They must describe in more details the own experimental stages conducted and they should conduct also a statistical study (like the ANOVA Tests) to highlight the main final obtained results!

3. The authors must insert Raw data tables containing statistical values and also some suggestive graphs based on these values! Statistical analysis has a very important role: highlighting and validating the main experimental obtained results!

4. The pictures and images inserted must properly describe the own experimental findings! The authors should refer to this pictures in the text, in "Discussions" section .

5. The final Conclusions, a very important part of the paper, are extremely short and without any experimental significance, without any substance, generally described. Conclusions must be also radically reconsidered because are poorly represented in the last paragraph of this paper, too general, very short and superficially treated and does not suggest at all the main final obtained results, neither the most important experimental findings !! The Conclusions were not supported at all by the experimental data obtained!

Editor's Details:

Dr. Cristian-Catalin Gavat
Lecturer, University of Medicine and Pharmacy "Grigore T. Popa", Romania.