

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_94921
Title of the Manuscript:	Study of Fibrinogen level and Platelets Indices in Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus and their relation with Microvascular Complications
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>1. The English need improvement since there are some grammatical and syntax errors in the manuscript. For example, the word "classified" may be as "were classified"; "as control" as "is a control"; "at cutoff" as "at the cutoff"; "17.65%" as "of 17.65%"; "the cardiovascular" as "cardiovascular"; "when vascular" as "when a vascular"; "disturbed [9]" as "is disturbed [9]"; "that has" as "which has"; "a measure" as "is a measure"; "as leukemia" as "such as leukemia"; "detection" as "the detection"; "The Pearson's" as "Pearson's"; "significant" as "a significant"; "Complicated" as "The complicated"; "higher" as "a higher"; "blood urea," as "an blood urea"; "uncomplicated" as "the uncomplicated"; "insignificant" as "the insignificant"; "control" as "the control"; "glycated haemoglobin," as "glycated haemoglobin"; "had positive" as "had a positive"; "had negative" as "had a negative"; "correlation were" as "correlation was"; "presence of" as "the presence of"; "Elevation" as "The elevation"; "than those" as "than in those"; "that was" as "which was"; "showed higher" as "showed a higher"; "that no" as "no"; "who concluded" as "concluded"; "In the current study, it" as "The current study,"; "negative" as "a negative"; "between MPV" as "of MPV". The grammar mistakes which are not mentioned here are also to be checked and corrected properly.</p> <p>2. There are some typing mistakes as well, and authors are advised to carefully proof-read the text. For example, the words "case control" may be as "case-control"; "micro vascular" as "microvascular"; "out-patient" as "outpatient"; "Type1diabetes" as "Type 1 diabetes"; "asprin" as "aspirin"; "high performance" as "high-performance"; "plainvacutainers" as "plain vacutainers"; "regard" as "regards"; "post prandial" as "post-prandial"; "significant difference" as "significant differences"; "correlations existed" as "correlation existed"; "p value" as "p-value"; "by chronic" as "by chronically"; "and macrovessels" as "and macro vessels"; "as regard" as "as regards"; "had not" as "had no"; "high significant" as "highly significant"; "study Jindal" as "study, Jindal"; "thickened" as "thicken". The typos not mentioned here are also to be checked and corrected properly.</p> <p>3. When referring to SPSS versions beginning from 19, authors should cite 'IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, version 22 (IBM Corp., Armonk, N.Y., USA)'.</p> <p>4. In the materials and methods, the authors may cite references for standard protocol, instead of mentioning kit or manufacture instructions (or catalogue number should be given), if reference is given with it and the same should be added in the reference section.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>1. Check the abbreviations throughout the manuscript and introduce the abbreviation when the full word appears the first time in the abstract and the remaining for the text and then use only the abbreviation (For example, type 2 DM (T2DM), diabetes mellitus (DM), MPV, PDW, etc.). Make a word abbreviated in the article that is repeated at least three times in the text, not all words to be abbreviated.</p> <p>2. The authors should avoid the usage of symbols like "&" in the manuscript and it should be replaced with "and" all over the manuscript.</p> <p>3. In the conclusion, it is highly recommended to include limitation of the study and potential future research goals.</p>	
Optional/General comments	The authors have put effort to evaluate the "Study of Fibrinogen level and Platelets Indices in Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus and their relation with Microvascular Complications". The authors describe the research undertaken with this in an organized manner, emphasizing the results obtained by them. The article needs modification for better cohesion of information to achieve the goal and the shortcomings which need to be considered. Hence, the paper can be accepted after MINOR REVISIONS are carried out.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	A. Vijaya Anand
Department, University & Country	Bharathiar University, India