

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_94867
Title of the Manuscript:	"A comparison of the effect on postoperative pain between lateral femoral cutaneous nerve block and local infiltration anesthesia after total hip arthroplasty: a randomized trial."
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. The design of the study is flawed. First of all, since this is a randomized study, why not use blinded method to collect data? Double blinded study is the golden standard. Blindness will increase the credibility of the data and the results. 2nd, all patients including Group B and I all received the femoral nerve block. Some studies showed after femoral nerve block, patients had good pain reliefs. After femoral nerve blocks, extra blocks or injections might not provide extra benefits for patients. That is why there is no statistical difference between the 2 groups. 3rd, should one more group be added as a control? The control has no any nerve block or local injection. It will be interesting to see whether there are differences between these 3 groups.2. For the benefits of readers, please spell out the abbreviations. Too many abbreviations only slow down the reading and understanding of the manuscript.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Joe Liu
Department, University & Country	MSU, USA