

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_94699
Title of the Manuscript:	Updates in the Role of MRI in Diagnosis of Lumbar Disc Herniation: A Systematic review
Type of the Article	Systemic review

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	It is mentioned in the result section in the search result section that 8 studies have been retrieved while down in the Figure and results you mentioned it is 9 ???!	
Minor REVISION comments	The number of ONLY 9 studies is too small for a good systemic review. Probably if you refined your research filters you may come out with better results.	
Optional/General comments	The paper did not add much to the existing knowledge. May be you can make 2 groups; one for diagnosing LDH using MRI alone and the other combining other radiological and neurophysiological tests to come out with better results that are scientifically more acceptable	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mohammed Awad Elzain
Department, University & Country	King Saud Medical City, Saudi Arabia