

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_94664
Title of the Manuscript:	NARCOLEPSY AND AERONAUTICAL APTITUDE
Type of the Article	Case study

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. here is not a single bibliographical reference in his introductory text, therefore his claims are not justified.</li><li>2. In the discussion you must contribute your particular vision (justified with literature that demonstrates its results) to the case that you present. It is limited to describing the disease (which should be in the introduction)</li></ol>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Adjust keywords to MeSH criteria. Include something else that can give your article greater visibility, such as: excessive sleepiness disorder.</li><li>2. Describes with bibliography (incidence, prevalence, etc.) the pathology</li><li>3. Bibliographic citations do not have a DOI or URL. How can I consult them and verify that they support your text?</li></ol>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. The figure is not a "figure", it is a table (which you must reference as your own elaboration or from where you have used it and should appear as an annex</li></ol>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes. Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Luis Manuel Fernandez Cacho
Department, University & Country	Marques de Valdecilla University Hospital, Spain