

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_94459
Title of the Manuscript:	Primary Percutaneous Coronary Intervention in Patients with Acute Myocardial Infarction (An analysis from Tanta-AMI multicenter Registry)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Article needs to be rewritten and resubmitted with major changes.2. Re-read carefully and correct all grammatical and non-grammatical errors.3. There is repetition of results in discussion. Avoid that tendency.4. There is no discussion about the major findings of study as compared to current standard outcomes. These include a very high rate of TIMI flow 0 after angioplasty and high in-hospital mortality. These must be discussed in detail along with findings from current literature and possible causes should also be discussed.5. Why DAPT or other GD medical therapy could not be prescribed to 100% of patients at discharge? Please discuss this in detail.6. Since duration of study is 6months, survival rate at 6 months should also be provided.	
Minor REVISION comments	--	
Optional/General comments	-	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sukhvinder Singh
Department, University & Country	Max Super Speciality Hospital, India