

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_94422
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of Holmium (YAG)-Laser transurethral en bloc resection in patients with primary non-muscle invasive bladder cancer
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The investigation has an interesting topic of a surgical type. It has all the sections of an original research article. The structure is correct, the introduction is adequate, the statistical analysis is sufficient and the results are well presented. The discussion addresses similar studies. The conclusion is enough. The manuscript and the tables have errors that the authors must correct in order to publish their study. All errors and suggestions are marked with yellow color. I add a list of errors so that the authors correct them without problem. I suggest that you include some clinical photos because it improves the appearance of a pilot study report.</p> <p>OBSERVATIONS</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Add to the title the words Pilot study. 2. Authors should avoid abbreviations in the abstract. 3. In the introduction section: Authors must write the meaning of the abbreviations. Authors must write the citations in order: 1,2,3, etc. Follow up by ultrasound, urine cytology and cystoscopy according to EUA guidelines. (Reference???) It is recommended that authors write the trademark, manufacturer's name and registered trademark sign TM. 4. In the results section: Figures 1 and 2 can be removed because their information is contained in the tables. In Table 3 and in the text, gm/dL should be substituted for g/dL (0.025%) should be replaced by (2.5%) Recommendation: Remove figures 1 and 2 and add photos of the technique so that the reader has a better idea of the procedure. 5. In the discussion section: Replace gm/dL with the correct abbreviation g/dL Authors must write the citations in order: 1,2,3, etc. Replace "...patients (0.025%) with (2.5%)." . 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes. Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Juan Gustavo Vázquez-Rodríguez
Department, University & Country	Instituto Mexicano del Seguro Social, Mexico