

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_94393
Title of the Manuscript:	A retrospective study to know the prevalence of weak D(DU) among blood donors and patients at a tertiary care teaching hospital in Telangana.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	NIL	
Minor REVISION comments	Material and methods: can be a little more elaborate with details of reagents and equipment's used along with manufacturers details. Discussion: Physiology of weak D can be in greater detail since, Partial D and Weak D are two different entities also relevance in clinical practice and diagnosis. Should not be confused with each other. One is quantitative and other is qualitative. Also write the prevalence of Weak D in Indian Population, you can explain the table no 2 in your text. Conclusion: Last sentence is not correct; Weak D positive mother can safely receive both Rh Positive and negative blood. It is Partial D which is of significance of developing Alloimmunisation and transfusion reaction. Weak D is more significant in Donors where negative blood must be confirmed. Kindly rephrase the conclusion.	
Optional/General comments	NIL	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sujay Bhowmik
Department, University & Country	Armed Forces Medical College, India