

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_94393
Title of the Manuscript:	A retrospective study to know the prevalence of weak D(DU) among blood donors and patients at a tertiary care teaching hospital in Telangana.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The whole document from Title to conclusion needs to be revised, The review was done in track changes and revised documents attached	
Minor REVISION comments	N/A	
Optional/General comments	The generated data need to be discussed in depth in relation to other similar studies carried in other parts of the world population The discussion area was not adequately addressed, one can say that what is documented is not a discussion. The reviewer could not discuss this area because the references used were very old	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> The author did not declare on the ethical approval of any kind the corrections are done in track changes	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rachel Githiomi
Department, University & Country	MKU, Kenya