

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_94393
Title of the Manuscript:	A retrospective study to know the prevalence of weak D(DU) among blood donors and patients at a tertiary care teaching hospital in Telangana.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Abstract is nit written according to journal's instruction. Rewrite abstract.• How sample size was calculated.• What was the protocol for testing weak D• WHICH Rh D samples were included for weak D testing.• Percentage of Rh D negative >15% in result seems to me wrong data as non of the good Indian study have shown the prevalence more than 10%• Correct the reference 7 and pattern of all should be identical• No keywords mentioned.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Dibyajyoti Sahoo
Department, University & Country	JIPMER, India