

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_94303
Title of the Manuscript:	Nucleated Red Blood Cells as a Prognostic Marker in Neonatal Intensive Care Unit
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The manuscript is written in a logic way and it is easy to follow. Some writing formats that the author needs to pay attention to:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> (1) In the introduction section, when NICU is first introduced, it should not be abbreviated, even it is introduced in the abstract section. (2) Patients and Methods section: the code number? (3) "2ml" should be "2 ml" (4) Another sample was collected between the next 2nd ...sample, which was either increasing ... (5) Please double check the citation format in the text from the journal's requirement. (6) When the number is the first word of a sentence, it should be spelled out. (7) Table 2,3? Table 5? Please describe in sentences. (8) Resembling (&()). Please remove the brackets. (9) The reviewer wants to suggest the author that when writing discussion, try to use the references to support your opinion and your discovery, instead of just mentioning the references. 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Liyan Chen
Department, University & Country	USA