

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_94272
Title of the Manuscript:	Lipid Abnormalities among Libyan HIV-Infected Patients Receiving Antiretroviral (ARV) Drugs and ARV Naïve Patients
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The research is good designed and the research idea is good. The author needs to improve the writing. Currently, the writing is not concise. The author wants to study whether ARV treatment for HIV-infected patients can influence the lipid metabolism of those patients or whether the lipid abnormalities are caused by HIV infection.</p> <p>To do this, the author compared three groups: ARV treated HIV infected, HIV infected without ARV treatment, and non-HIV infected group. The author needs to write clearly, just to describe the reason to do this research, the objective, the methods to do it, the results and the discussions.</p> <p>The introduction section is too tedious, not focusing on the objective. And some sentences are confusing, for example "the African continent... AIDS-related illnesses." The third paragraph is no use and can be removed. The fourth and the fifth paragraphs need to be combined.</p> <p>The first paragraph of discussion section is no use. The reviewer suggests the author to briefly introduce the influence of the tested parameters on the liver health in the discussion section.</p> <p>Also please introduced what CD stands for.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	The idea for the research is good. The writing needs major revision.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Liyan Chen
Department, University & Country	USA