

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_94233
Title of the Manuscript:	HANLINK: A Dexterous Robotic Hand Exoskeleton controlled by Motor Imagery (MI) to Assist Individuals with Upper Body Paralysis
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Author has to correct some major points as I have pointed out below. Kindly correct and resubmit it</p> <p>In Abstract : Author has mentioned hand impairment individual as subject of this study , even same has been mentioned in method. What type of level of hand impairment?</p> <p>Subjects are stroke individual so do author mean by all stroke subjects have same hand impairment whether it's Acute or chronic.</p> <p>What about the type of stroke. whether ischemic has less hand impairment or haemorrhagic. In inclusion criteria only age has been mentioned but what about the severity of impairment or cognitive impairments. How sample size has been determined.</p> <p>Ethical approval if any has to be mentioned. How long the study was conducted or it got over in a day. which place the study was done. Who took the measurements and what was the experience level of that person. no details have been given for outcome measures and it's Psychometric properties.</p> <p>How comfortable was to wear this exoskeleton for stroke subjects. Was it feasible for paralysis patients.how much it weigh.</p> <p>Practical difficulty should be highlighted here.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	Please give a clarity on level of hand impairment so that the study will be feasible for perticular population.	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Priyanka Singh
Department, University & Country	India