

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_94113
Title of the Manuscript:	The Value of Tumor Size as a Prognostic Factor in Rectal Adenocarcinoma
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	How many patients with rectal carcinoma presented to the Centre during the period of investigation? Of these, how many went for surgery straight away? What percentage of the total number of patients went for each line of treatment?	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	It needs extensive editing with regard to language, grammar, syntax, composition, spelling and punctuation In a scientific document, there is no place for We, Us, Our, Ours – please replace with in "this study"	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	What exactly is Meet Ghmmr in the title of the Hospital?	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Raghunadharao Digumarti
Department, University & Country	GSL Medical College, India