

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_94113
Title of the Manuscript:	The Value of Tumor Size as a Prognostic Factor in Rectal Adenocarcinoma
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	A thorough investigation apprehending the impact of the tumour size on the prognostic of rectal adenocarcinoma is presented. It is well constructed, in a very acceptable English. Despite a brief mention of the concept of colorectal adenocarcinoma, an extended differential diagnosis and analysis of the two morphological units is not sustained. Thus, the colorectal carcinoma, to my knowledge, is conceived as the preferred clinicopathological entity. The excellent study hereby presented, might be void!	
Minor REVISION comments	The study is well written.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes. Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Daniel Benharroch
Department, University & Country	Ben Gurion University, Israel