

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Mathematics and Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMCS_94759
Title of the Manuscript:	New Interesting Property and Application of the Rectangular Hyperbola
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljamcs.com/index.php/JAMCS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>The results are good and satisfactory and the paper seems to be scientifically correct. The paper's argument built on an appropriate base of theory, concept or other ideas. Moreover, the research or equivalent intellectual work on which the paper is based been well designed. The abstract clearly and accurately describes the content of the article and the interpretations and conclusions have been justified by the results. The paper does demonstrate an adequate understanding of the relevant literature in the field and cite an appropriate range of literature sources. However, i want to point out the following :</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1- The paper contains some typo and grammatical errors that should be corrected. 2-The introduction have to improve by adding survey in the literature especially the importance of the rectangular hyperbola. 3- More information about the governing result should be added to the introduction section. 4- Conclusion part of the manuscript can be developed and more explanation about the results of the examples can be added. 5- Finally, please check the entire manuscript carefully about the above mentioned suggestions. Last of all, this manuscript does have significant content. 	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	The topic content of this paper is consistent with the goals of the journal. So my recommendation is acceptance of the manuscript after the minor changes. It will hope fully add value to the existing literature.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Shorouk Mahmoud Al-Issa
Department, University & Country	Lebanese International University, Lebanon