

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Mathematics and Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMCS_94655
Title of the Manuscript:	REVIEW OF EMERGENCY HEALTHCARE DELIVERY SYSTEM IN NIGERIA
Type of the Article	REVIEW ARTICLE

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljamcs.com/index.php/JAMCS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Try to derive the recommendations / suggestions made from the research work. For example, the first recommendation spoke about the need to educate on the importance of first aid box. But there was no link to either education or first aid box in the manuscript earlier. 2. Be specific about timelines. When exactly are you referring to by saying "recently" in "The Federal Ministry of Health recently authorized...". 3. When referring to different places in different countries, mention the country of the page. For example, where is Tel Aviv in "Nigeria's teaching hospitals to Tel Aviv for two weeks". 4. Be consistent in wording style. In some places, "Healthcare" is mentioned while in others, "health care" is mentioned. 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Improve on using Academic English. There are several places where casual normal conversational English words are being used. Avoid starting a sentence with "This...". 2. Please use Grammarly or such grammar tool to bring about standardization in vocabulary usage. "Similarly" is sufficient in place of "In a similar vein". 	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Vijaya Kittu Manda
Department, University & Country	India