

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Mathematics and Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMCS_94655
Title of the Manuscript:	REVIEW OF EMERGENCY HEALTHCARE DELIVERY SYSTEM IN NIGERIA
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljamcs.com/index.php/JAMCS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	This review article on emergency healthcare delivery system in Nigeria is interesting. I would appreciate your work. This work is technically sound. Authors have reached definite outcomes. The title reflects the main focus of the manuscript. The abstract summarizes and reflects the work described in the manuscript. A core tip is given which justifies the need for this work. The manuscript describes the background, present status, and significance of the work. The manuscript summarizes the research trends adequately and appropriately, highlighting the key points concisely, clearly, and logically. This work has been tailored with available literature. The manuscript cites appropriately the latest, important and authoritative references in the introduction and discussion sections. The manuscript is well, concisely, and coherently organized and presented and the style, language, and grammar are accurate and appropriate. The authors prepared the manuscript according to the appropriate research methods and reporting.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Heber Anandan
Department, University & Country	Dr. Agarwal's Eye Hospital, India