

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Advances in Mathematics and Computer Science</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JAMCS_93690</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>The Solution of the Invariant Subspace Problem. Complex Hilbert space. Part II.External countable dimensional linear spaces over field <math>*\mathbb{R}_c^{\#}</math>.</b>
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljamcs.com/index.php/JAMCS/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>NIL</b>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Introduction is not adequate and sufficient.</li> <li>2. A conclusion is the last part of something, its end or result. When you write a paper, you always end by summing up your arguments and drawing a conclusion about what you've been writing about. Overall, your paper may conclude in a better way.</li> <li>3. Abstract is the summary of the research work. The main parameters of the abstract are: what, how, and why. What: It includes definition of the research work means what is going on in research work. How: It covers methodology part means define a technique/ methodology that help to execute your research work. Why: It focus application of the research work means where it can use. Abstract should not be more than 250 to 450 word. Overall, your abstract should be more meaningful.</li> </ol>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The paper is technically correct.</li> <li>2. Title is appropriate.</li> <li>3. The theorems with proofs are justified.</li> </ol>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>C. Saranya</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Cauvery College for Women, India</b>