

Review Form 1.6

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | Journal of Applied Life Sciences International |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_JALSI_90576 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Assessment Of Nurse's Knowledge regarding postoperative renal surgery complication in El Gazira Hospital for renal surgery and Disease |
| Type of the Article | Original Research Article |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljalsi.com/index.php/JALSI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|-------------------------------------|---|---|
| Compulsory REVISION comments | <p>General Comments: The authors of the paper titled, "Assessment of Nurse's Knowledge regarding postoperative renal surgery complication in El Gazira Hospital for renal surgery and Disease" have conducted a cross-sectional survey with the aims to assess nurses Knowledge regarding postoperative renal surgery complication. The paper is generally NOT well written. Suggested it for Major revision and i have provided specific comments on the various sections in support of my recommendation.</p> <p>Specific comments: Abstract: 1. In Introduction: The author should discuss the problem statement of post operative renal surgery complication 2. In Method: The author should highlight the date of data study and in additional, the SAQ should be validated and must highlighted the cut-off for the knowledge 3. In result: The author should focus on the main findings (Not socio-demography) and not findings about the summary of each section of knowledge.</p> <p>Introduction The author should focus on the problem of the Renal surgery in the world and in the state instead of just talk about the definition of Renal surgery. Methods: Please highlighted the year the study was conducted and please state the instruments used is validated and please elaborate briefly about this questionnaire and the cut-off. Results The first paragraph should not be in the result section and the socio-demographic can be summarised further by included the data in the table. (Please combine Fig 1, 2 and Table 1, 2 into ONE table) It will be great if the author can present the overall findings and compared between groups, such as year of service, education background etc to see the knowledge related to sociodemographic factors. Discussion The discussion should discuss the summary of each section and not each question Conclusion The conclusion is not convincing due to the findings of the study not clear stated.</p> | |
| Minor REVISION comments | | |
| Optional/General comments | This manuscript is generally not well written, not clear and not well-conceived. Suggested it for major revision | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|--|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

[Review Form 1.6](#)

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Name: | Lim Kuang Kuay |
| Department, University & Country | Institute for Public Health, Malaysia |