

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Agriculture and Ecology Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAERI_94968
Title of the Manuscript:	Genetic diversity study of melon native landraces using ISSR markers in Kurdistan region of Iraq
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljaeri.com/index.php/JAERI/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. Is important to know about the genetic diversity of important food plants like melon.</p> <p>2. The title is suitable</p> <p>3. The abstract is comprehensive but must be clear in the rest of manuscript which are indigenous and which non-native. Based in the Figure 1 ¿G1 to G15 are indigenous?</p> <p>4. The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate but I think the section Plant materials is very poor ¿How many fields are you sampled?¿Is representative the sample?</p> <p>4. The manuscript is scientifically correct</p> <p>6. This works are cited but not in the list of References: Delaporta <i>et al.</i> (1983), Lynch and Walsh 1998, Powel <i>et al.</i> 1996 and Rafat must be before Raghmi.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The English language quality is suitable for this type of communication</p> <p>In Introduction "A melon is any of various plants of the family Cucurbitaceous with sweet, edible, and fleshy fruit." Is better "The melon (<i>Cucumis melo</i>) is one of various plants of the family Cucurbitaceous with sweet, edible, and fleshy fruit."</p> <p>I suggest to change the term "plants of the summer family".</p> <p>Put in italics all the scientific names.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Víctor Manuel de Jesús Canché Ek
Department, University & Country	México