

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Research Journal of Oncology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IRJO_94587
Title of the Manuscript:	CANCER THERANOSTICS: AN EMERGING FIELD FOR CANCER RESEARCH, TREATMENT AND THERAPY.
Type of the Article	Minireview Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**Lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalirjo.com/index.php/IRJO/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	The article, created as a literature review, addresses an extremely current topic, of high scientific and medical interest, regarding the concept of cancer theranostics. The authors make a succinct and clear panoply of the valences of this concept, in the modern and personalized diagnostic and therapeutic management of cancer, presenting the main directions: molecular biomarkers in cancer theranostics, tumor-targeted nanomedicines, lipid- and polymer-based nanostructures, as well as theranostics in nuclear medicine. The purpose of the article to report the latest developments in the principles of cancer treatment, is fully achieved.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	No Revisions comments	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The English used is correct, abbreviations are explained on first use in the text, keywords are chosen correctly. The bibliography is correctly written, with more than 20-th bibliographic indexes. Perhaps it would be useful to number the bibliographic indexes. Everything is written and elaborated concisely and clearly, which is why I recommend publishing without any modification of the article.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Cirimbei Ciprian
Department, University & Country	Institute of Oncology Bucharest, University of Medicine and Pharmacy Carol Davila, Romania