

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Neuropsychiatric Disease Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_INDJ_94877
Title of the Manuscript:	Nutritional Psychiatry Integrated Frame work: Exploration of Nutrition and Co-Occurring Bipolar Disorder and Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder
Type of the Article	Review Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalindj.com/index.php/INDJ/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Bipolar Disorder and Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder are classified from variety of symptoms that are mentioned in DSM-5 or ICD-10. The author, while talking about Nutritional Psychiatry, need to mention clearly what are the symptoms by which these disorders are identified. <b>This background information in the introductory part is missing in the paper.</b></li><li>2. The <b>Nutritional Psychiatry Integrated Framework</b> is prepared by the author, therefore, it should come after the Methodology, before the discussion.</li></ol>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. There is a numbering mistake, Methodology and Discussion are numbered VI</li></ol>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Nutritional psychiatry is an emerging field; this paper will help the clinicians to offer a comprehensive therapy. How this framework can be integrated into therapy ( <b>a therapy model</b> ) could give idea to any clinician, and the author can name it and take credit for this, which the author missed in this review paper. May be, the author can work on this as a separate paper.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	S.T. Janetius
Department, University & Country	St John College, Nagaland University, India