

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_94911
Title of the Manuscript:	Enteric parasitosis of HIV-positive patients in Cameroon: case of the Regional Hospital of Bafoussam (Western Region)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijtdh.com/index.php/IJTDH/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The theme approached in this article is important, and it falls into the topic of International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health . The organization of the studied article is classic and scientific standpoint correct. There is a logic thread of the presentation and argumentation which makes the article relatively easy crossing. The used language is scientifically correct, and it can be easily read and understood by the specialists in the field. The style and expression of the author are clear and coherent, no expression mistakes. The article contains 43 bibliographical sources. Of these, one source is published in 2022, and four sources in 2021. The sources citation is made according to requirements.	
Minor REVISION comments	From a mathematical (statistical) point of view, the processing of available data is correct. However, since the article deals with a medical problem, with important effects for people's health, the significance threshold p should be set at the value of 0.01, instead of 0.05. Then, based on the obtained results, some conclusions would change in relation to this new significance threshold value.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes. Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Blajina Ovidiu
Department, University & Country	University Politehnica of Bucharest, Romania