

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Research and Reports in Hematology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJR2H_94156
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessing Haemoglobin Concentration and Red Cell Morphology in Stored Blood Units
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalijr2h.com/index.php/IJR2H/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	ABSTRACT: Authors should check the corrections (comments) indicated in the manuscript and review the abstract. INTRODUCTION: This part should be referenced appropriately using the Journal's acceptable referencing format. METHODS: Typographical and punctuation errors should be corrected. RESULTS: Re-write the results sequentially in details as indicated in the manuscript. There are so many part of the result section written in the Discussion part. Check the manuscript comments and effect the corrections. DISCUSSION: Ensure you go straight to discussion and not repeating your results so as to avoid ambiguity and confusing the reader. In addition, summarize the findings of the literature you wish to use to either support your findings or contradict your findings. Do not state the result data; and do not cite without reporting the summary of the findings. REFERENCES: This should be re-written entirely.	
Minor REVISION comments	There are lots of referring issues, typographical and punctuation errors. Overall, this is a very interesting study.	
Optional/General comments	A very interesting study that is very informative. It is novel but a lot of work needs to be done on the manuscript before it should be accepted.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Darlington N. C. Onyejike
Department, University & Country	Nnamdi Azikiwe University, Nigeria