

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_95045
Title of the Manuscript:	Physico-chemical properties of cotton growing soils in Uppununthala mandal, Nagarkurnool district, Telangana
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1. The work is very interesting and has important results, its representations in the manuscript are well shown, it lacks a few small touches and it will be ready to be published in this journal. 2. The title was very appropriate with the content of the manuscript. 3. The abstract of the manuscript is understood and very well ordered. 4. Yes, the subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate. 5. Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct. 6. Yes, the references sufficient and recent. 7. You have to add a representative map of the study area.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	1. I see that yes, the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications. 2. All comments and recommendations are placed in the text of the manuscript, please check them.	
Optional/General comments	I wish the author a good continuation with this very important work that takes many efforts. In the end I recommend to publish it in this journal	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Aziz Benhamrouche
Department, University & Country	Ferhat Abbas University Setif1, Algeria