

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_94849
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Soil test crop response (STCR) and STCR –Integrated plant nutrition system (IPNS) based fertilizer prescription equations on maize productivity, economics and soil fertility status in vertisols of Northern Karnataka
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	This article is very well founded and ordered. the manuscript is solid enough and technically sound because it presents the originality is very clear. It lacks a foundation in the methodology part which methods were used to determine: pH, EC, N, P2O5 and K2O. For example, to determine N the Kjeldahl method was used.	
Minor REVISION comments	It is recommended that the bibliographic reference does not cite anonymous authors, especially in this type of article because the idea is that other researchers can use their article for information. The bibliographic references constitute a set of detailed and precise data for the identification of a documentary source, printed or not, from which the information was obtained. The anonymous author should not exist in the bibliography because it would make it difficult for the reader to locate it.	
Optional/General comments	The article is very well founded and organized that has its originality.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rolando Mamani Quispe
Department, University & Country	Universidad Mayor de San Andrés (UMSA), Bolivia