

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_94540
Title of the Manuscript:	Histological studies on mango cultivars
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Title: The title needs to be more specific as: "Histological studies in vegetative and reproductive mango buds", for instance.</p> <p>Abstract The Abstract is not clear. The main phrase explaining the reasons for the research is scattered among other phrases. There are some phrases without verbs. The phrase explaining what you consider 'off-season' and 'main season' is little confused. So, the authors need to rewrite all this part of the manuscript as clear as possible.</p> <p>Key words 'Microtome' is not a good word. It is a name of an equipment as a microscope or a computer. 'Longitudinal sections' is better. The words 'vegetative' and 'reproductive' are so vague and incomplete. It would be better 'vegetative buds', 'reproductive buds'.</p> <p>Introduction There is not any reference here. In this part, the authors need to add appropriately relevant literature so that the reader can understand why the topic is important, interesting and why the authors were interested in this research. So, the authors need to add appropriate references</p> <p>Materials and Methods The description of the technique is incomplete. (a) Did you apply a progressively dehydrated series in ethanol or in butanol (butyl alcohol) in the mango buds? (b) Did you infiltrate the materials in paraffin or in a synthetic resin?</p> <p>Results and Discussion The first phrase does not reflect your analyses. They were possible through a light microscope. The microtome only helped you in histological cuts. The authors did not associate the plates (figures) with their results. Moreover, they did not discuss their results with the other authors.</p> <p>Conclusion Authors, pay attention: Any Conclusion is based on author's data (only your data). It is directly supported by the evidence and the implications of your findings. It summarizes the results and major findings. This is not part of 'Discussion' neither part of 'Results'. Seeing that, the author must rewrite the Conclusion in a clear short paragraph. It would be better to the authors search in Google/ Google Scholar some papers concerning histological studies in mango. There are many. These paper could help you.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Neiva I. Pierozzi
Department, University & Country	Instituto Agronômico de Campinas, Brazil