

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJPSS_94396</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Influence of Irrigation Schedules on Economics of Winter Wheat in Eastern Uttar Pradesh (revised)</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy> )

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>The author's need to briefly describe the abstract, aim and objectives, research problem, research finding &amp; contributions and lastly theoretical/practical implication of the study. Please checked the research methodology such as selection of Sampling design, time frame of study, type of research design, number of population &amp; sampling techniques, data collections, Data analysis and the literature reviews.</p> <p>Also, need some elaboration and justify the research objectives and questions of study.</p> <p>The revised of the manuscript title is <b>"The Factors That Influences of Irrigation Schedules and Economic Analysis for Wheat Production Cost: Case Study in Prayagraj Eastern Uttar Pradesh, India"</b></p> <p><b>(All comments are stated in red colour of texts)</b></p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p>The author's need to rechecked all measurement of the outputs and more concrete of elaboration with the other researchers/scholar finding. Especially in research conclusion and recommendations.</p> <p>The conclusion was too vague and need aligned with research objectives and aims.</p> <p><b>(All comments are stated in red colour of texts)</b></p>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<p>There are some missing of citations and errors on the writing of the references. Use the APA format</p> <p><b>(All comments are stated in red colour of texts)</b></p>	

### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p> <p>There is no an ethical issues in the manuscript. The result analysis and the discussion are accepted and need some improvements</p>	

**Review Form 1.6**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Mustafa Bin Dakian</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Universiti Tun Abdul Razak (UNIRAZAK), Malaysia</b>