

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_94369
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of agronomic management on micrometeorological parameters and root yield of Sida hemp (Sida alnifolia)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	01. The title of the manuscript should be modified as per reviewer changes. 02. The abstract should be rewrite after incorporation of the corrections as per reviewer comments. 03. Author should include & discuss the weather data of the study area during the crop growing period for better understanding the influence of light intensity on root yield of sida. 04. Introduction is very general. Author should add importance of medicinal plants and technologies available for increasing yield of medicinal plants at national and international level. 05. Kindly add the date of planting and first harvesting root yield. 06. Given references are very old. Author should add the recent works published by national and international researchers relevant to present study. 07. Addition of information on cost economics of cultivation	
Minor REVISION comments	01. Author should incorporate all the corrections made in the manuscript. 02. Author should modify the manuscript as per journal guideline. 03. Discussion part should be improved with the support of recent works published by national and international researchers relevant to present study. 04. Conclusion should be clear and scientific output. Kindly rewrite after incorporating all the corrections suggested in the text.	
Optional/General comments	The manuscript rewrite as mentioned the text.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Barikara Umesh
Department, University & Country	University of Agricultural Sciences, India